UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,891	07/26/2005	Takako Yamaguchi	00684.003654	7802
5514 7590 09/05/2008 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			JELSMA, JONATHAN G	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/529,891	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Jelsma	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	election requirement.				
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2005</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/03/205, 05/16/2006, 07/21/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,891 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

- 1. This is the initial office action based on application 10/529,891 filed on 07/26/2005 by Takako Yamaguchi, and Yashuhisa Inao.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are currently pending and have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the use of the "concentric-circle model", see for example page 14 lines 5-20, does not reasonably provide enablement for the "eccentric model". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Page 14 lines 5-20 teaches that the electric field distribution has an approximately concentric extension, and then teaches that the electric field distribution can be approximated by a concentric-circle model. Additionally page 15 lines 18-24 the specification states "Analyzing this distribution in greater detail... the intensity attenuates as like expanding as a concentric circle." The term "eccentric" on

Application/Control Number: 10/529,891 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

the other hand is taken to mean "located elsewhere than at the geometrical center" or "deviating from a circular path", which is contrary to the teachings of the specification.

6. Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 7. The phrase "the wavelength of a surface plasmon polariton wave" in claim 5 renders the claim indefinite. Plasmon polaritons are electromagnetic surface waves, and so therefore it is unclear which of the plurality of waves of the Plasmon polaritons the pitch is greater than.
- 8. Claim 9 recites the limitation "a step of preparing an exposure mask as recited in any one of claims 1-4" in line 5 of claim 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claim is referring to a method of preparing an exposure mask as recited in claims 1-4, but claims 1-4 are not method claims, but are exposure masks, and so therefore claims 1-4 do not recite any limitations for the preparation of the exposure mask, but merely structural features.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1795

- 10. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by ALKAISI ("Nanolithography in the Evanescent Near Field" Advanced Materials 2001, 13, No. 12-13, July 4).
- 11. With respect to claims 1-5, 7-8, 9 as dependent upon claim 2, 10-12, and claim 14 as dependent upon claim 2.
- 12. ALKAISI teaches an exposure mask, and method of making the mask, for forming an image on a photoresist on a substrate (page 879, section 3). The mask with opaque regions is used in an exposure method, using UV illumination, from a source such as a laser (page 878, section 1 paragraph 2), utilizing the near field diffraction effects to create an image in the photoresist coated substrate beyond the diffraction limit of the projection lithographic system (page 879 section 3, and see figure 1). The exposure mask comprises opaque regions with gratings, such as dense or isolated lines (page 880 paragraphs 1-2). ALKAISI then teaches a simulation and model of the mask based on the pitch and thickness of the medium to be imaged in order to simulate and model the diffraction in the evanescent near field of the metallic gratings (page 883 section 6.1, paragraphs 1-2).
- 13. ALKAISI teaches an optical photoresist thickness of 60 nm (page 880 section 4 paragraph 1) where the photoresist is the layer where the image is to be produced, so T = appx 60 nm. The line width (W) may then be produced by less than 50 nm (page 880 section 4 paragraph 2). Figure 5b then shows 280 nm period (P) with 70 nm apertures (D), forming a width of the light blocking member (K) being 210 nm (page 881 paragraph 1). Additionally the photoresist may go through further processing to give a

Application/Control Number: 10/529,891

Art Unit: 1795

uniform thickness of 45nm (T") (page 882 section 5.2 paragraph 2 – page 883 paragraph 1). Therefore the value of 210nm > (50nm + 2*60nm) = 170nm, and 70nm < (280nm - 50nm - 2*60nm) = 110nm. Similarly $70nm = (280nm - 50nm - 2*60nm*(1 + <math>\alpha) = 110nm$, where $\alpha = 1/3$, and (50nm + 2*60nm) < (280nm - 70nm). Also 50nm < (280nm - 70nm - 2*45nm).

Page 5

- 14. With respect to claim 6 as dependent upon claim 2. The exposure mask of ALKAISI comprises opaque regions in the forms of isolated lines (page 880 paragraph 2). These isolated lines opaque region patterns are taken to be arranged 2 dimensionally (see also figure 5).
- 15. With respect to claim 13. Claim 13 is dependent upon claim 9 which is rejected above with respect to claim 2. ALKAISI further teaches pattern transfer methods where the pattern from the photoresist is transferred into the substrate (page 882 section 5), For the production of a wide variety of optical or electronic structure devices (page 887, section 1 paragraph 1).

Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Jelsma whose telephone number is (571)270-5127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,891 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571)272-1385. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark F. Huff/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

JGJ